

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,053	07/11/2003	Makoto Nonoyama	240071US3	3048
22850 75	90 04/07/2005	•	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3723	
			DATÉ MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/617,053	NONOYAMA ET A	AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M Rachuba	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may poly within the statutory minimum of to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	January 2005.						
·— · _	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a in	st of the certified copies in	st received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other: _		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 December 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 limits "selecting a predetermined grinding wheel" and the "simultaneously grinding plural grinding portions of a workpiece using plural grinding wheels". It is not clear if the predetermined grinding wheel is one of the plurality of grinding wheels later limited. Applicant should consider first limiting providing a plurality of grinding wheels and then selecting a predetermined grinding wheel from the plurality of grinding wheels before grinding begins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053

Art Unit: 3723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 1,088,621, as set forth in the Office action mailed 31 March 2004. It is the examiner's position that the first grinding wheel to leave contact with the workpiece is the predetermined grinding wheel, see EP '621, for example the abstract, "The control unit controls motions of the wheel heads in according to signals provided from the gauging unit, wherein controls all of tool heads to execute a finish grinding process, controls one tool head corresponding to one portion whose diameter became a required value to back off, and controls all of tool heads to execute a spark-out process after diameter of all of the portions became each required value. Therefore, it can prevent that accuracy of one portion machined by one tool head is deteriorated by effect of machining by another wheel head."

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 22 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '621 does not disclose controlling the grinding step such that grinding by a predetermined grinding wheel is terminated prior to a termination of grinding by the other grinding wheel, in that '621 must test the diameter

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053

Art Unit: 3723

of either grinding portion before selecting which wheel will stop grinding.

"Predetermine" is defined as: To determine, decide, or establish in advance: 1 There is no limitation as to how far in advance the determination must be made. Here, the predetermination is made at the time of testing the diameter of the grinding portions.

Applicant has not clearly and definitely limited the step of predetermining the grinding wheel, please refer to the rejection under 35 USC 112 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. RachubaPrimary Patent Examiner



¹ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.